

Benchmarks

Verificatum JavaScript Cryptographic Library

Version: 1.1.0

Date: 2016-08-31

Browser: Firefox 1.0+ (best effort detection)

Each benchmark computes at least 3 samples of the measured quantity and takes the average. The running time of the layout engine is not included in the running time. Note that benchmarks give different results using different JavaScript engines and that other factors may influence the results. In particular, the last measurements may not reflect actual running time (it would be faster), since the garbage collection is poor on some platforms. Thus, interpret the results with care and investigate the particular case you are interested in before drawing any hard conclusions.

The library is designed with pre-computation in mind all the way up to the highest abstraction layer. Combined with a web worker as in this benchmark, such computations can be done in the background.

Exponentiation

The running times include the cost of generating random exponents, which gives an upper bound of the running time of the actual exponentiation.

The running time of modular exponentiation is increased by almost factor of 8 when the bit size of the modulus is doubled as expected from a relatively naive implementation. A similar behavior can be seen in the elliptic curves with growing field size, but with a slightly smaller factor.

Standard Multiplicative Groups

Group	ms / exp
modp768	12.7
modp1024	22.7
modp1536	37.7
modp2048	80.7
modp3072	247.7
modp4096	556.0
modp6144	1731.7
modp8192	3942.3

Standard Elliptic Curves

Group	ms / exp
prime192v1	5.3

prime192v2	7.3
prime192v3	5.3
prime256v1	10.3
prime239v1	10.0
prime239v3	10.7
secp192k1	5.7
secp192r1	5.3
secp224k1	10.3
secp224r1	5.7
secp256k1	11.3
secp256r1	8.3
secp384r1	19.0
secp521r1	106.7
brainpoolp192r1	7.3
brainpoolp224r1	18.7
brainpoolp256r1	13.3
brainpoolp320r1	28.3
brainpoolp384r1	39.7
brainpoolp512r1	81.0
P-192	6.0
P-224	12.0
P-256	15.7
P-384	46.0
P-521	105.3

Fixed-basis Exponentiation for Selected Groups

Here zero gives plain exponentiation for easy reference.

Group \ Exps	0	1	2	4	8	16	32
modp3072	253.0	331.7	199.7	126.4	90.5	70.2	57.3
modp4096	556.0	704.0	492.5	308.6	218.4	161.9	130.3
modp6144	1742.7	2199.3	1391.8	908.9	636.3	469.8	381.0

Encryption over Selected Groups

The running time of encryption grows linearly with the width, so the values for greater widths are readily extrapolated from the given numbers.

El Gamal Encryption (ms / ciphertext)

This is only benchmarked for the purpose of comparison. It is not CCA2 secure or even non-malleable, and should therefore not be used unless other equivalent mechanisms are in place.

Group \ Width	1	2	3	4
modp3072	452.7	896.3	1356.3	1795.3
modp4096	1016.3	2054.3	3080.0	4116.0
modp6144	3223.7	6610.3	9865.7	13187.7
P-256	80.7	183.0	250.3	319.3
secp384r1	192.0	398.3	585.0	785.3
P-521	433.3	849.0	1285.3	1685.7

El Gamal Encryption with Label and ZKPoK (ms / ciphertext)

This is the simplest cryptosystem, which is non-malleable in a standard heuristic sense, i.e., it is the El Gamal cryptosystem with proof of knowledge of the randomness turned non-interactive using the Fiat-Shamir heuristic. This is not provably secure in the random oracle model, but likely to be secure.

Group \ Width	1	2	3	4
modp3072	665.3	1311.7	2000.0	2649.3
modp4096	1498.7	3014.0	4518.3	5942.7
modp6144	4704.7	9419.3	14154.0	18879.7
P-256	92.3	167.0	246.3	331.7
secp384r1	190.3	379.3	1517.7	2124.0
P-521	1078.0	1543.0	1205.7	1646.3

Naor-Yung with Label and ZKPoK (ms / ciphertext)

This is the Naor-Yung cryptosystem, which is provably CCA2 secure with the Fiat-Shamir heuristic in the random oracle model.

Group \ Width	1	2	3	4
modp3072	1157.0	2347.3	3529.3	4751.3
modp4096	2625.3	5224.0	7870.0	10514.7
modp6144	8406.3	16728.0	25246.7	33868.0
P-256	135.7	275.0	416.0	548.7
secp384r1	343.0	641.3	977.0	1291.7
P-521	688.3	1385.7	2055.0	2759.0